DOI: 10.1111/1365-2656.13276

IN FOCUS

What determines parasite species richness across host species?

Tad Dallas¹ | Lauren A. Holian² | Grant Foster³

¹Department of Biological Sciences, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

²Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

³Odum School of Ecology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

Correspondence Tad Dallas Email: tad.a.dallas@gmail.com

Handling Editor: Jennifer Gill

Abstract

In Focus: Dáttilo, W., Barrozo-Chávez, N., Lira-Noriega, A., Guevara, R., Villalobos, F., Santiago-Alarcon, D., Neves, F. S., Izzo, T., & Ribeiro, S. P. (2020). Species-level drivers of mammalian ectoparasite faunas. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. https://doi. org/10.1111/1365-2656.13216. The question of what drives the number of parasite species able to infect a given host species is still a largely open question, despite decades of research. Dáttilo and colleagues examine the potential drivers of ectoparasite species across a large set of host species to explore the taxonomic and trait drivers of host-parasite interactions. Here, we contextualize their findings, explore what is known about parasite species richness, and identify some potential next steps to-wards answers.

KEYWORDS

ectoparasite, parasite diversity, parasite species richness, parasite specificity

What determines the number of species that can inhabit a given area? This question is fundamental to ecology, and has an analogue in parasitology as to what controls parasite species richness, the number of parasite species that infect a given host species (Kamiya, O'Dwyer, Nakagawa, & Poulin, 2014). While this is a longstanding question in the study of host-parasite interactions, universal drivers of parasite species richness have remained elusive (Canard et al., 2014; Morand, 2000; Poulin, 1997; Price & Clancy, 1983; Stanko, Miklisová, De Bellocq, & Morand, 2002). A recent study by Dáttilo et al. (2020) contributes to our understanding of host-parasite associations by exploring parasite species richness across a wide taxonomic range of host species distributed broadly across the Nearctic and Neotropical regions of Mexico. In highlighting their findings, we address some of the fundamental constraints and important avenues in the study of host-parasite associations.





Parasite species are non-randomly distributed across different host species (Poulin, 2014; Poulin & Morand, 2000; Vázquez, Poulin, Krasnov, & Shenbrot, 2005). Parasite variation across hosts may be driven by a diversity of ecological and evolutionary factors (Figure 1). Even if we were to assume that host-parasite interactions are determined by neutral dynamics (Canard et al., 2014), parasite richness would vary between host species, as more abundant host and parasite species would interact more often, be detected more often and therefore have increased parasite richness. Understanding what drives variation in parasite species richness is both a longstanding and currently pressing need, as parasite diversity (a) is currently underestimated (Dallas, Huang, Nunn, Park, & Drake, 2017), (b) may be at risk due to extinctions (Carlson et al., 2017), and (c) is important to understand when identifying potential emerging infectious diseases (Geoghegan, Senior, Di Giallonardo, & Holmes, 2016). Dáttilo et al. (2020) evaluate whether taxonomic distance, trait variation (body mass and geographical range size) and geographic co-occurrence (diversity field) of hosts are associated with ectoparasite species richness.

Close relationships-taxonomic or phylogenetic-between host species may yield more similar parasite community composition (Wells, Gibson, & Clark, 2019) or parasite species richness (Nunn, Altizer, Jones, & Sechrest, 2003). Consistent with previous studies, Dáttilo et al. (2020) found a clear effect of host taxonomic distance on parasite species richness and parasite sharing patterns (fig. 4 in Dáttilo et al., 2020). Specifically, that the probability of ectoparasite sharing for two congeneric host species reached up to 90% and decreased precipitously as taxonomic distance increased. While this may be driven in part by parasites that are specific to certain host taxonomic levels (e.g. bat lice and the order Chiroptera), the decline in parasite sharing is sharp by the level of taxonomic Family (Dáttilo et al., 2020). The rapid decline associated with increasing taxonomic distance may reflect the co-evolutionary relationships between parasites and their hosts and the inherent temporal separation between lineages (e.g. bat flies tend be quite specialized and species-rich). Alternatively, the importance of host phylogenetic or taxonomic distance to parasite sharing may be explained by more closely related hosts tending to have similar traits, which would affect the subsequent encounter and acquisition of parasite species.

Generally, host traits that enhance the probability of encountering a parasite species (e.g. large geographic range), or acquiring a parasite (e.g. low immune investment) are associated with higher parasite species richness. Dáttilo et al. (2020) find that host species with larger geographic ranges tended to have more parasite species, consistent with previous meta-analytical findings (Kamiya et al., 2014) and findings in other host-parasite systems (Nunn et al., 2003; Poulin, 1997). However, Dáttilo et al. (2020) also find that small-bodied species tended to have higher parasite species richness, which is at odds with other studies (e.g. Bordes, Morand, & Ricardo, 2008; Kamiya et al., 2014; Poulin, 2004). This may be due to differential sampling effort, as extremely well-studied host species also tend to be small-bodied (e.g. rodents and bats). Species associations—including both antagonistic and mutualistic associations—may change across spatial or environmental gradients (Pellissier et al., 2018), as determined in part by species geographic range overlap, environmental conditions, density-dependence and the context of the local community (Travis, Brooker, Clark, & Dytham, 2006; Tylianakis & Morris, 2017). As a result, the scope of the study may determine the patterns observed. Dáttilo et al. (2020) found that body mass and proportional range size were good predictors of ectoparasite richness, and that taxonomic similarity was a major driver of the probability of sharing ectoparasites for mammals distributed across Mexico. However, these factors may differentially influence parasite richness across spatial scales and even at various levels of taxonomic groupings.

The influence of geographic scale is important, as parasite species richness may be estimated for a specific location (Hughes & Page, 2007; Morand et al., 2000), an entire region (De Bellocq, Morand, & Feliu, 2002), or at global scale (Dallas et al., 2018). Ideally, estimates of parasite species richness for a given host species would include information on the entire geographic distribution of the host species, as findings based on a subset of the host range may lead to distinct results, though this may be logistically challenging. Often, it is assumed that parasite species richness is conserved spatially, such that a host species infected by five parasite species in one area will likely be infected by five parasite species in another. Dáttilo et al. (2020) and colleagues present an analysis of parasite species richness which encompasses a large geographic area (Nearctic and Neotropical regions of Mexico) and a diverse set of mammal species. Given the geographic study area, Dáttilo et al. (2020) found that members of the genus Peromyscus tended to have relatively high parasite species richness. This genus has an extensive geographic range, is well-sampled and is among one of the more parasitized groups in other parts of their ranges as well (Morand & Poulin, 1998; Poulin, 1995).

The genus Peromyscus not only highlights the potential issue of geographic scale, but also the influence of sampling and detection biases of host-parasite associations (Carlson et al., 2020; Dallas, Huang, et al., 2017). Dominant species in a community should be more easily sampled, increasing the probability of detecting a host-parasite association. However, the reason that these host species are easily sampled is because they are common and have dense populations, facilitating horizontal transmission of parasites. Disentangling the roles of sampling and detection bias from ecologically relevant factors that may predispose host species to have higher parasite species richness is an important consideration. For the case of Peromyscus, Dáttilo et al. (2020) highlight this point in their discussion, stating that variation in 'sampling effort can be a strong predictor of host-parasite relationships'. Additionally, Chiroptera highlight how taxon-specific characteristics may influence patterns in host-parasite associations. Amongst the studied mammalian hosts, Chiroptera were the only group to exhibit a positive relationship between geographic co-occurrence (diversity field) and closeness centrality (Dáttilo et al., 2020). Whether this pattern is driven by geographic distribution or life-history traits, understanding potential exceptions and differing roles within multi-host-parasite networks presents an important avenue for future research.

Dáttilo et al. (2020) recommend the implementation of regional or global standardized host sampling schemes as a means to detect potentially zoonotic parasites. While we agree that identifying potential parasite spillover is a worthy goal, the preferential sampling of already well-sampled species (e.g. many Peromyscus species) may simply enhance the sampling and detection bias already present. However, using predictive models to examine parasite sharing with humans or closely related species could be a way to estimate zoonotic potential (Farrell, Berrang-Ford, & Davies, 2013), or by network-based approaches which explicitly consider host and pathogen species associations (Dallas, Park, & Drake, 2017; Evans, Dallas, Han, Murdock, & Drake, 2017). Further, standardized global sampling will promote our understanding of the spatial distribution of parasite species, and how this may be affected by climate change (Carlson et al., 2020). While standardizing global sampling may be logistically infeasible, leveraging existing data from museum records, published data and governmental surveys may provide some insight into large-scale patterns of parasite diversity and host-parasite associations. Lastly, considering the entire complex structure of host and parasite community associations is an important frontier (Dormann, Fründ, & Schaefer, 2017; Pellissier et al., 2018; Tylianakis & Morris, 2017), as this formulation allows for a body of theory and tools to be applied to estimate (Carlson, Zipfel, Garnier, & Bansal, 2019; Jordano, 2016), understand (Poulin, 2010) and predict (Dallas, Park, et al., 2017) host-parasite associations.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the writing of this manuscript.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

There are no associated data with this manuscript.

ORCID

Tad Dallas (D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3328-9958

REFERENCES

- Bordes, F., Morand, S., & Ricardo, G. (2008). Bat fly species richness in Neotropical bats: Correlations with host ecology and host brain. *Oecologia*, 158, 109–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1115-x
- Canard, E., Mouquet, N., Mouillot, D., Stanko, M., Miklisova, D., & Gravel, D. (2014). Empirical evaluation of neutral interactions in host-parasite networks. *The American Naturalist*, 183, 468–479. https://doi.org/10.1086/675363
- Carlson, C. J., Muellerklein, O. C., Phillips, A. J., Burgio, K. R., Castaldo, G., Cizauskas, C. A., ... Harris, N. (2017). The Parasite Extinction Assessment & Red List: An open-source, online biodiversity database for neglected symbionts. *BioRxiv*, 192351.
- Carlson, C. J., Phillips, A. J., Dallas, T. A., Alexander, L. W., Phelan, A., & Bansal, S. (2020). What would it take to describe the global diversity of parasites? *BioRxiv*, 815902.
- Carlson, C. J., Zipfel, C. M., Garnier, R., & Bansal, S. (2019). Global estimates of mammalian viral diversity accounting for host sharing.

Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3, 1070–1075. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41559-019-0910-6

- Dallas, T. A., Aguirre, A. A., Budischak, S., Carlson, C., Ezenwa, V., Han, B., ... Stephens, P. R. (2018). Gauging support for macroecological patterns in helminth parasites. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 27, 1437–1447. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12819
- Dallas, T., Huang, S., Nunn, C., Park, A. W., & Drake, J. M. (2017). Estimating parasite host range. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 284, 20171250. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2017.1250
- Dallas, T., Park, A. W., & Drake, J. M. (2017). Predicting cryptic links in host-parasite networks. PLoS Computational Biology, 13, e1005557. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005557
- Dáttilo, W., Barrozo-Chávez, N., Lira-Noriega, A., Guevara, R., Villalobos, F., Santiago-Alarcon, D., ... Ribeiro, S. P. (2020). Species-level drivers of mammalian ectoparasite faunas. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13216
- De Bellocq, J. G., Morand, S., & Feliu, C. (2002). Patterns of parasite species richness of Western Palaeartic micro-mammals: Island effects. *Ecography*, 25, 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1034/ j.1600-0587.2002.250205.x
- Dormann, C. F., Fründ, J., & Schaefer, H. M. (2017). Identifying causes of patterns in ecological networks: Opportunities and limitations. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 48, 559–584. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022928
- Evans, M. V., Dallas, T. A., Han, B. A., Murdock, C. C., & Drake, J. M. (2017). Data-driven identification of potential Zika virus vectors. *Elife*, 6, e22053. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.22053
- Farrell, M. J., Berrang-Ford, L., & Davies, T. J. (2013). The study of parasite sharing for surveillance of zoonotic diseases. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8, 015036. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ 8/1/015036
- Geoghegan, J. L., Senior, A. M., Di Giallonardo, F., & Holmes, E. C. (2016). Virological factors that increase the transmissibility of emerging human viruses. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113, 4170–4175. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1521582113
- Hughes, J., & Page, R. D. (2007). Comparative tests of ectoparasite species richness in seabirds. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 7, 227. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-227
- Jordano, P. (2016). Sampling networks of ecological interactions. Functional Ecology, 30, 1883–1893. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12763
- Kamiya, T., O'Dwyer, K., Nakagawa, S., & Poulin, R. (2014). What determines species richness of parasitic organisms? A meta-analysis across animal, plant and fungal hosts. *Biological Reviews*, 89, 123–134. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12046
- Morand, S. (2000). Wormy world: Comparative tests of theoretical hypotheses on parasite species richness. In R. Poulin, S. Morand, & A. Skorping (Eds.), *Evolutionary biology of host-parasite relationships: Theory meets reality* (pp. 63–79). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Eslevier Science.
- Morand, S., Cribb, T. H., Kulbicki, M., Rigby, M. C., Chauvet, C., Dufour, V., ... Sasal, P. (2000). Endoparasite species richness of New Caledonian butterfly fishes: Host density and diet matter. *Parasitology*, 121, 65– 73. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182099006058
- Morand, S., & Poulin, R. (1998). Density, body mass and parasite species richness of terrestrial mammals. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 12, 717–727. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006537600093
- Nunn, C. L., Altizer, S., Jones, K. E., & Sechrest, W. (2003). Comparative tests of parasite species richness in primates. *The American Naturalist*, 162, 597–614. https://doi.org/10.1086/378721
- Pellissier, L., Albouy, C., Bascompte, J., Farwig, N., Graham, C., Loreau, M., ... Gravel, D. (2018). Comparing species interaction networks along environmental gradients. *Biological Reviews*, 93, 785-800. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12366

- Poulin, R. (1995). Phylogeny, ecology, and the richness of parasite communities in vertebrates: Ecological archives M065–001. *Ecological Monographs*, 65, 283–302. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937061
- Poulin, R. (1997). Species richness of parasite assemblages: Evolution and patterns. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 28, 341–358. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.28.1.341
- Poulin, R. (2004). Macroecological patterns of species richness in parasite assemblages. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 5, 423–434. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.baae.2004.08.003
- Poulin, R. (2010). Network analysis shining light on parasite ecology and diversity. *Trends in Parasitology*, 26, 492–498. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pt.2010.05.008
- Poulin, R. (2014). Parasite biodiversity revisited: Frontiers and constraints. International Journal for Parasitology, 44, 581–589. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2014.02.003
- Poulin, R., & Morand, S. (2000). The diversity of parasites. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 75, 277–293. https://doi.org/10.1086/393500
- Price, P. W., & Clancy, K. M. (1983). Patterns in number of helminth parasite species in freshwater fishes. *The Journal of Parasitology*, 69, 449-454. https://doi.org/10.2307/3281352
- Stanko, M., Miklisová, D., De Bellocq, J. G., & Morand, S. (2002). Mammal density and patterns of ectoparasite species richness and abundance. *Oecologia*, 131, 289–295. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044 2-002-0889-5

- Travis, J. M. J., Brooker, R. W., Clark, E. J., & Dytham, C. (2006). The distribution of positive and negative species interactions across environmental gradients on a dual-lattice model. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 241, 896–902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2006.01.025
- Tylianakis, J. M., & Morris, R. J. (2017). Ecological networks across environmental gradients. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48, 25–48. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022821
- Vázquez, D. P., Poulin, R., Krasnov, B. R., & Shenbrot, G. I. (2005). Species abundance and the distribution of specialization in host-parasite interaction networks. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 74, 946–955. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00992.x
- Wells, K., Gibson, D. I., & Clark, N. J. (2019). Global patterns in helminth host specificity: Phylogenetic and functional diversity of regional host species pools matter. *Ecography*, 42, 416–427. https://doi. org/10.1111/ecog.03886

How to cite this article: Dallas T, Holian LA, Foster G. What determines parasite species richness across host species?. *J Anim Ecol.* 2020;00:1–4. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-

2656.13276